ORACLE®

Do not believe everything you read in the papers

Tim Harris 10 February 2016

ORACLE

The following is intended to provide some insight into a line of research in Oracle Labs. It is intended for information purposes only, and may not be incorporated into any contract. It is not a commitment to deliver any material, code, or functionality, and should not be relied upon in making purchasing decisions. Oracle reserves the right to alter its development plans and practices at any time, and the development, release, and timing of any features or functionality described in connection with any Oracle product or service remains at the sole discretion of Oracle. Any views expressed in this presentation are my own and do not necessarily reflect the views of Oracle.







EADLINE * DEADLINE * DEADLINE * DEA





ORACLE





What I want to compare

the performance using our C++ runtime system from Java (via an optimizing compiler with a lightweight native function interface)

with

the performance using standard Java fork-join.



What I am actually comparing

Differences	Differen	ices in page sizes
in thread	Differences in	
placement	Differences in	Difforences in
	memory	Differences in
	placement	GC activity

Changes in lowlevel code quality

Changes in work distribution granularity



...

This talk is about

- Making experimental work more methodical
- Some of the "usual suspects" when understanding performance
- Presenting results



This talk is about

- Making experimental work more methodical
- Some of the "usual suspects" when understanding performance
- Presenting results
- Caveats
 - I am mainly talking about work on shared-memory algorithms and data structures
 - Some of these observations may apply elsewhere, but I am sure the war stories differ



This talk is about

- Making experimental work more methodical
- Some of the "usual suspects" when understanding performance
- Presenting results
- Caveats
 - I am mainly talking about work on shared-memory algorithms and data structures
 - Some of these observations may apply elsewhere, but I am sure the war stories differ
- There are a lot of other elements to consider
 - Experimental design
 - Statistical analysis of results



Overview



2 Plan how to present results before starting work





Script everything, record everything





Script everything, record everything





+ date	
Sun Jan 24 11:31:23 PST 2016	
+ g++version	
g++ (GCC) 4.9.1	
Copyright (C) 2014 Free Software Foundation, Inc.	
This is free software; see the source for copying conditions. There is NO	
warranty; not even for MERCHANTABILITY or FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.	
+ export CLIENTS_PER=10	
+ CLIENTS_PER=10	
+ export QUEUE=bunch-unreservedq	
+ QUEUE=bunch-unreservedq	
+ export TIME_MINUTES=120	
+ TIME_MINUTES=120	
+ FLAGS=	
+ cp config-big-scale-both.hpp config.hpp	
+ cat config.hpp	
* config.hpp	run (e.g., text logs)
* Created are 27 law 2015	oon gronbs
* Created on: 27.Jan.2015	call graphs
* AULHOF: EFTANZ	and LIVIE IOI SHUES)

ORACLE

Copyright © 2016, Oracle and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

+ date		
Sun Jan 24 11:31:23 PST 2016	5	
+ g++version		
g++ (GCC) 4.9.1	salloc: Job allocation 1955166 has been revoked.	
Copyright (C) 2014 Free Softv	srun: Job step aborted: Waiting up to 2 seconds for job step to finish.	
This is free software; see the	srun: error: bunch003: task 2: Terminated	
warranty; not even for MERC	+ for SERVERS in 1 2 4 8 16 24 32 48	
	+ export CLIENT_MACHINES=4	
+ export CLIENTS_PER=10	+ CLIENT_MACHINES=4	
+ CLIENTS_PER=10	+ MC=9	
+ export QUEUE=bunch-unre + date		
+ QUEUE=bunch-unreserved Sun Jan 24 11:38:45 PST 2016		
+ export TIME_MINUTES=120+ sinfo		
+ TIME_MINUTES=120	+ grep bunch-unreservedq	
+ FLAGS=	bunch-unreservedq up 4:00:00 100 idle bunch[001-100]	
+ cp config-big-scale-both.hp	+ COMMENT=brown-tx-scale-4-9	
+ cat config.hpp	+ export SERVERS	
/*	+ salloc -pbunch-unreservedq -t120 -N9 -n9comment=brown-tx-scale-4-9	
* config.hpp	salloc: Granted job allocation 1955168	
*	+ make -j	
* Created on: 27.Jan.2015	g++ -std=gnu++11 -g -O3 -Wall -Wconversion -Wextra -Wno-ignored-qualifiers	
* Author: erfanz	-Wno-write-strings -Isrc/util -Isrc/basic-types -Isrc/executor -Isrc/TSM-SI -Isrc/TSM-SI/client	
*/	-Isrc/TSM-SI/server -Isrc/TSM-SI/timestamp-oracle -c src/util/BaseContext.cpp	
	-o build/util/BaseContext.o	

ORACLE

Script everything, record everything







The test harness was parameterized on the algorithm to use, the number of concurrent threads to operate and the range of keys that might be inserted or deleted. In each case every thread performed 1000000 operations. Figure 6 shows the CPU accounted to the process as a whole for each of the algorithms tested on a variety of workloads.

"A pragmatic implementation of non-blocking linked lists", Tim Harris, DISC 2001

















Starting and stopping work... what we imagine:



Measure duration = 2s

Throughput = 4M / 2s = 2M ops / s



Starting and stopping work... what we get:





Constant load

- Fixed number of threads active
 - E.g., data structure micro-benchmarks
 - Look at how the structure under test behaves under varying loads
- Keep all threads active throughout experiment. Typically:
 - Create threads
 - Perform warm-up work in each thread
 - -Barrier
 - Actual measurement interval
 - Main thread signals request to exit to others
- Investigate and report differences in actual work completed by threads

ORACLE

Constant work

- Fixed amount of work to perform
 - Share it among a set of threads e.g., OpenMP parallel loop
 - Aim to use threads to complete the work more quickly
 - Measure from when the work is started until when it is all complete
- Show results for
 - Strong scaling: same amount of work as you vary the number of threads
 - Weak scaling: increase the work proportional to the threads
- Investigate and report differences in
 - Load imbalance (do threads finish early?)
 - Actual amount of work completed by threads (do some threads work faster?)



Unfairness: simple test-and-test-and-set lock

- Main thread runs a constant number of iterations, signals others to stop
- 2-socket Haswell, threads pinned sequentially to cores in <u>1 socket</u>





Unfairness: simple test-and-test-and-set lock

- Main thread runs a constant number of iterations, signals others to stop
- 2-socket Haswell, threads pinned sequentially to cores in both sockets











Copyright © 2016, Oracle and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.









Script everything, record everything





Generating results

General principle: derive results from numbers you measure, not from numbers you configure



Copyright © 2016, Oracle and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

Generating results

General principle: derive results from numbers you measure, not from numbers you configure

Configuration setting written in incorrect file Code that reads the setting is buggy

System overrides the settings (e.g., thread pinning)

Environment variable set incorrectly ("GOMP_PROC_BIND")

Setting is invalid and ignored at runtime






Overview



2 Plan how to present results before starting work





Plan how to present results before starting work

• Why?

- Make sure you can illustrate the problem you are solving and you know the questions you want to see answered
 - How bad are things now?
 - How much scope exists for improvement?
- Time to practice explaining the format of the results to other people
- Time to notice and resolve difficulties running experiments
- Coding/tweaking/experimenting will expand to fill the time available
 Let them!



Running pairs of workloads together on a 2-socket machine





Running pairs of workloads together on a 2-socket machine





Running pairs of workloads together on a 2-socket machine





Why does this format work?

- Easy to explain what a good result is like and what a bad result is like
- A neutral result is "quiet"
 - All the squares are white
 - No need to understand what the workloads actually do
- Captures trade-offs
 - Results here often come in pairs
 - $-\operatorname{Green}$ with red
 - We will see both of them together
- "Dashboard" while doing the work



Another example – scalability microbenchmark SPARC T5-8, 1024 threads



Different work scheduling mechanisms, vary the batch size used for distribution

Perf relative to 1 thread and no work distribution overheads

(See USENIX ATC 2015 for the different techniques.)



Microbenchmark results SPARC T5-8, 1024 threads

Y-intercept shows the best-cast overhead at very large batch sizes.





Why does this work?

- Easy to explain what a good result is like and what a bad result is like
- A neutral result is "quiet"
 - All the squares are white
 - No need to understand the different workloads
- Captures trade-offs
 - Results here often come in pairs
 - Green with red
 - We will see both of them together



Trade-offs

- Parallel stop-the-world garbage collector
- Suppose it takes 5% of execution time on average
- Do you care?



Trade-offs



Trade-offs

ORACLE

Now I do care that unlucky requests are delayed





Minimum mutator utilization





Minimum mutator utilization





Minimum mutator utilization





Bandwidth vs latency





Bandwidth vs latency





Summary

- Make formats easy to explain, e.g.:
 - Ideal behaviour is a horizontal line
 - Ideal behaviour is a blank heat map
- Make numbers easy to read off
 - What does a y-intercept mean?
 - What does a x-intercept mean?
 - Is anything hidden where lines are clumped together?
- Show and expect to see trade-offs



Overview



2 Plan how to present results before starting work





Understand simple cases first

- Why? Almost without exception:
 - There are bugs in the test harness
 - There are bugs in the data processing scripts (grep, cut-n-paste, ...)
 - There are unexpected factors influencing the results



Understand simple cases first

- Why? Almost without exception:
 - There are bugs in the test harness
 - There are bugs in the data processing scripts (grep, cut-n-paste, ...)
 - There are unexpected factors influencing the results
- Before paying any attention to actual results, try to identify simple test cases that should have known behavior
 - (Even if you do not care about them, or they are contrived)
 - Do they behave as expected?
 - Can you completely explain them? ("Memory system effects" is not an answer)
 - Add them to regression tests, and watch for them breaking



Basic checks to make

- Should the workload be 100% user mode?
 - Confirm this with "top"
 - Check that "strace" is quiet (no system call activity)
- Where are the threads running?
- Where is the memory they access located?
- What do profiling tools show?
 - Can you use with optimized builds? If not, check impact of disabling optimization
 - If you have long-running use cases, does the profile actually match them?
 - Look at 1-thread workloads as expected?
 - Increase thread count and look for trends













ORACLE

























(It was a stray process still running on the machine)





Overview



2 Plan how to present results before starting work
















An example from my recent work

ette

ORACLE

1. Work distribution Normalized execution time chunk size 1024 vs 4096 0.8 2. Some additional GC activity with fork-join 0.6 3. False sharing on VM 0.4 "-UseMembar" page 0.2

Previous system

JNI performance - false sharing on the "-UseMembar" serialization page

By Data on Nov 17, 2015.

For background on the member elision techniques and the senalization page, see the following <u>7644409</u> <u>Asymmetric Dekker Synchronization</u>: and <u>OPI Quiescence</u>. On normal (69 and SPARC systems these are strictly local latency optimizations (bacause MEMBAR) is a local operation) ethnicity on some systems where tences have global effects, they may actually improve scalability. As an aside, such optimizations may no longer the profitable on reodem processors where the cost of fences has decreased steadily. Reliatedly, on larger systems, the TLB stoetdown activity – interprocessor interrupts, etc. – associated with improtect(PROT_NONE) may contribute a system wide scaling impediment. So the prevailing trand is away from soch techniques, and teck toward fances. Biniar arguments apply to the biased locking – another local latency optimization – which may have outward to usefulness.

A colleague in Oracle Labo ran into a puzzling JNI performance problem. It originally manifested in a complex environment, but he managed to reduce the problem to a simple test case where a set of independent concurrent. Timeads make JNI calls to targets that return immediately. Scaling starts to fade at a suspiciously low number of threads. I) eliminated the usual thermal, energy and hyperthreading concerna).

On a hunch, if thed +UseMentbar, and the scaling was flat. The problem appears to be false sharing for the stone accesses into the samatization page. If you're following along in the operiph source code, the cuprits appear to be write_memory_senatos_page() and Macroassambler senatize_memory(). The "hash" function that selects an offset in the page — to reduce take sharing — needs improvement. And since the member efficien code was writen, I balleve biased taking forced the thread instances to be aligned on 255-byte boundaries, which contributes in part to the page — to reduce take sharing — needs improvement. And since the member efficien code was writen, I balleve biased taking forced the thread instances to be aligned on 255-byte boundaries, which contributes in part to the poor hash-distribution. On a whim, Ledded an "Ordinal" field to the thread structure, and initialize it in the Trimad cloriby fetch-and-add of a static global. The 5th created thread will have Ordinal=>5, etc. I then changed the heath function in the files mentioned atoms to generate an offset calculated will (Ordinal=128) & (PageSze-5)), "128" is important as that's the alignmentpadding unit to avoid take sharing on x88. (The unit of coloreshoe on x86 is a 64byte cache len, but intel note in their manuals that you need 128 to avoid take sharing. Adjacent sector prefetch makes it 126 bytes, effectively). This provided tell.

With 128 byta units and 6 4K base page size, we have only 32 unique "sixtp" on the senakcation page, it might make senae to increase the senatzation region to multiple pages, with the number of pages is possibly a function of the number of logical CPUs. That is, to reduce the odds of collisions, it probably makes sense to conservatively overprovision the region (improtect) operations on configuous regions of virtual pages are only slightly more expensive their representations on a single page, at leads on site or SPARC. So overching from a single page to multiple pages shouldn't result in any performance loss: ideally well index with the CPUID, but i don't see that happening as pating the CPUID in a treaty fashion can be problematic on some platforms. We could still have very poor distribution with the ordinalD scheme i membroad above. Slightly butar than the CPUID but i don't see that happening as pating the CPUID in a treaty fashion can be problematic on some platforms. We could still have very poor distribution with the OrdinalD scheme i membroad above. Slightly butar than the CetinalD approach regin to by to instance the number of threads associated with each of the slot. This could be drive in the thread ctor. It's still patiative as you could have a poor distribution over the set of threads using JNH at any given memory. But something lies that coupled with increasing the use of the region, would probably work well.

p.s. the improtect)-based senalization technique is safe only on systems that have a memory consistency model that's TSO or shonger. And the access to the senalization gage has to be store. Because of memory model issues, a load isn't sufficient.

Update friends in J2SE have field an RFE as JDK-8143878

Future work

- Three aspects to this talk:
 - Working practices to try to make sure there is time to understand results
 - Formats for presenting results to help understand them
 - Recurring problems from this particular area of research



Future work

- Three aspects to this talk:
 - Working practices to try to make sure there is time to understand results
 - Formats for presenting results to help understand them
 - Recurring problems from this particular area of research
- I would like to have more common infrastructure for running experiments
 - Help run experiments consistently
 - Same allocator, same thread placement, ...
 - Use raw output logs as part of artefact evaluation processes
 - By using it, help convince others that experiments are run well



Further reading

Books

- Huff & Geis "How to Lie with Statistics"
- Jain "The Art of Computer Systems Performance Analysis: Techniques for Experimental Design, Measurement, Simulation, and Modeling"
- Tufte "The Visual Display of Quantitative Information"
- Papers and articles
 - Bailey "Twelve Ways to Fool the Masses"
 - Fleming & Wallace "How not to lie with statistics: the correct way to summarize benchmark results"
 - Heiser "Systems Benchmarking Crimes"
 - Hoefler & Belli "Scientific Benchmarking of Parallel Computing Systems"

ORACLE

Integrated Cloud Applications & Platform Services



Copyright © 2016, Oracle and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

ORACLE®